

CLIMATE CHANGE FOOD + FARMING ENERGY HEALTH POLITICS + ECONOMICS SCIENCE + TECHNOLOGY I

# Bill Gates' cloud-whitening trials 'a dangerous experiment

Tom Levitt

11th May, 2010

2

0 Like <271

Microsoft founder Bill Gates providing funding for whiteness of clouds, reflect more sunlight back ir

Campaigners have criticised plans for a sea trial of cloud-whitening technology, funded

A US-based research body, Silver Lining, which has received \$300,000 from Mr Gates, seawater into microscopic particles to be sprayed into clouds. Scientists believe this wil clouds and increase their ability to reflect more sunlight back into space, reducing global

The Gates-backed sea trial would be the largest known attempt to geoengineer the clim an area of 10,000km2.

However, campaigners say such a large-scale trial is 'risky' and that a global ban on ge place until regulations governing the sector can be introduced.

'We knew Microsoft was developing cloud applications for computers but we didn't expecronies have no right to unilaterally change our seas and skies in this way,' said Jim Thempaigners, ETC Group.

In March, MPs from the Science and Technology Committee back such calls and said co unilateral action on geoengineering without consulting the UN.

A major <u>report on the subject by The Royal Society last year</u> also warned of the unknow including, changes to regional weather patterns and ocean currents.

However, the report said it had advantages over other forms of geoengineering because within ten days nearly all of the salt paricles would rain or settle out of the atmosphere

It could also be used over Arctic to reduce summer ice melt, the scientists said.

Is this something others should read? If so... Stumble It!

#### **READ MORE...**



COMMENT

#### Join our campaign to halt geoengineering

It's time to say no to scientists and politicians considering geoengineering Jim Thomas



**NEWS** 

#### Regulate geoengineering before it's too late, say MPs

Climate manipulation must be regulated at the UN level to avoid countries says a committee of MPs



**NEWS** 

#### **Geo-engineering: climate solution or dangerous distraction?**

The launch of the Royal Society's report on geo-engineering raises the spechange. But is it that simple?



**NEWS** 

#### Governments 'eager' to divert climate funding to geoengineering

Governments that are considering funding geoengineering experiments are for conventional mitigation or adaptation to climate change



COMMENT

Dumping iron sulphate into the ocean, or, how to 'geo-engineer' tl Climate change due to human interference with fragile ecosystems? No pi iron sulphate into the ocean



**Users Comments** 

## Re: Bill Gates' cloud-whitening trials 'a dangerous experiment'

Posted By emilyjhunt 1 May 12, 2010 04:49:48 AM

This article makes it sound like they haven't really tested the idea much, but plan on a very large scale test for the initial one. i think thi know there will not be terrible side effects. also i think it is unrealistic to try to rely on whiter clouds to stop global warming, it is not the and coal that have warmed the earth and it is up to us to change our ways to correct it. the global governments need to get together are can still be living here after 60 years.

# Re: Bill Gates' cloud-whitening trials 'a dangerous experiment'

Posted By lecsman 1 May 13, 2010 08:01:56 PM

This article is kind of confused and bizarre... this is a dangerous experiment because, among others, "unknown side-effects of cloud-without doing the experiment first? How any regulations can be put in place when is not very clear what should be regulated? We built the UN for that. Waiting for an international body to come together before doing any experiments is just plain stupid. Is not how scienc clear if that was meant as a joke or the guy saying it is completely clueless. From the aggressive style of his comment I'm inclined to b

## Re: Bill Gates' cloud-whitening trials 'a dangerous experiment'

Posted By isisapollo 1 May 14, 2010 03:18:10 AM

YOU BETTER BELIEVE I WILL COMMENT! This is supposed to be NEWS? Cloud seeding has been escalating here for years! There about Bill Gates and his facist cronies. They are taking over the food - Doomsday Seed Vault - the climate and whatever else they can

does he care if aluminum and barium are sprayed into our heads? Here he is talking about his "favorite methods" of depopulation: http Powers that Rule are criminals. If they are not stopped we will all be in the Doomsday vault - only we won't be the ones eating.

### Re: Bill Gates' cloud-whitening trials 'a dangerous experiment'

Posted By Fezworth 1 May 14, 2010 01:14:35 PM

I cannot believe that these people do not know their basic physics. Water as a gas is invisible water vapour and water vapour is the m Spraying water droplets that will become vapour would make matters worse. Glass greeenhouses work but polytunnels only work whe on the polythene and it can't get out. Polytunnels in dry regions only act as wind shields.

#### Re: Bill Gates' cloud-whitening trials 'a dangerous experiment'

Posted By isisapollo 1 May 14, 2010 04:12:10 PM

Just in case someone here may not be educated in CHEMTRAILS they are not CONTRAILS - the military is already doing a heavy du lethal levels of barium and aluminum. Please take a moment to view this information about what is happening RIGHT NOW: Environm v=PtlOXhSnNw8 Environmental Deception chemtrail video http://www.blip.tv/file/3043645 Congressional Candidate Mark Reed Discus Governor Candidate Chelene Nightingale discusses chemtrails http://www.blip.tv/file/3445755/ This is a full length movie coming out a - that is happening before our very eyes! "Cloud-whitening" - it sounds happy - so clean and bright - like a toothpaste. But as we all kn the prisoners docile. Don't be fooled folks by this - don't be fooled. LOOK UP IN THE SKY.

### Re: Bill Gates' cloud-whitening trials 'a dangerous experiment'

Posted By tomm174 1 May 17, 2010 04:09:54 PM

"campaigners say such a large-scale trial is 'risky' ". Why? Do they have evidence? Or good theoretical grounds. There is plenty of sa effects they could just stop doing it, and the effects will just die away. How do we know? Because the levels of salt fluctuate naturally actually no more risk in doing the trial than in any other intervention, and mankind intervenes - including NOT doing it. The fact someth harmfulness. The most likely outcome - so far as we know without trying it - is the intended effect of reducing global warming. This kind Presumably both the spokesman and the journalist thought it didn't matter if they responded without a proper evaluation. Quite wrong. "campaigners" undermine the credibility of well-informed thoughtful commentators on other ecological issues. By reporting the response 'The Ecologist' with stereotyped sloppy thinking. If you care about the credibility of ecologically aware thought, it is necessary to write i