

Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, vol. 29, Oct. 1972, pp. 1367-1374. .

Takeuchi, N., et al., "Random Modulation CW Lidar," Applied Optic, vol. 22, May 1, 1983, pp. 1382-1386. .

Takeuchi, Nobuo, et al., "Diode-laser Random-modulation CW Lidar," Applied Optics, vol. 25, Jan. 1, 1986, pp. 63-67. .

Nagasawa, Chikao, et al., "Random Modulation CW Rador Using New Random Sequence," Applied Optics, vol. 29, Apr. 1, 1990, pp. 1466-1470..

Primary Examiner: Johnston; Jill A.

Attorney, Agent or Firm: Martine, Jr.; Chester E.

Claims

What is claimed is:

1. An airborne system for indicating that a contrail is forming at a distance behind an aircraft, said contrail having an onset which normally occurs within a predetermined contrail onset range behind the aircraft, said system comprising:

means carried by the aircraft for directing a lidar signal behind the aircraft into intersection with at least the onset of a contrail which has formed behind the aircraft, the onset of the contrail being within the contrail onset range, said lidar signal being scattered back toward the aircraft by the contrail to form a return signal having an amplitude related to said distance at which the contrail forms behind the aircraft, said directing means modulating the lidar signal according to a pseudorandom pattern, the return signal having a pattern related to said pseudorandom pattern; and

means carried by the aircraft for cross correlating said pattern of the return signal and the lidar signal to generate a profile of the amplitude of the return signal according to said distance from the aircraft, said profile having a peak within the onset range and corresponding to said distance of the onset behind the aircraft for indicating that the contrail is being formed behind the aircraft.

2. A system according to claim 1, wherein the onset range starts at a selected distance behind the aircraft, further comprising:

said directing means directing said lidar signal along a first path into the contrail onset range;

said cross correlating means being responsive to the return signal scattered along a second path; and

said directing means and said cross correlating means being interrelated such that said first and second paths intersect at a distance behind the aircraft past the selected distance and within the contrail onset range.

3. A system according to claim 2, further comprising:

a platform on said aircraft;

said directing means and said cross correlating means being mounted on said platform offset from each other.

means for sensing the lidar signal; and

means responsive to the lidar signal having a wavelength other than the selected wavelength for controlling said generating means to generate said lidar signal at the selected wavelength.

10. A system according to claim 5, further comprising:

said mounting means including a first aperture for permitting said output signal to be directed along a first path; and

means for admitting to said grouping means only that portion of the return signal which is returned along a second path positioned at an angle from said first path so that said portion is received from not less than a minimum distance from the generating means.

11. A system according to claim 5, wherein the aircraft has a plurality of engines the operation of one of which may result in a contrail being formed behind the aircraft without the operation of a second of said engines resulting in the formation of a contrail, said system further comprising:

said system having one of said generating means for each of said engines, each of said generating means being operated at a different output sequence which is distinguishable from the other output sequences;

said output signals being scattered back by each said contrail to form one of said return signals from each of said *contrails*, said return signals each having a different output sequence; and

said grouping and said cross correlating means being effective separately with respect to each of said different output sequences of said return signals to indicate separately for each particular one of said engines whether a contrail is resulting from the operation of said particular engine.

12. A system according to claim 5, further comprising:

said mounting means being effective in directing said output signal behind the aircraft by moving said output signal in a sweeping path to bring said output signal into intersection with a leading edge of any contrail, said sweeping being relative to the axis so that at least some portion of the leading edge of any contrail which has formed at a location behind the aircraft is in the path of the output signal to produce said return signal from said portion;

movable means for receiving said return signal from said portion of said leading edge of said contrail, said movable means being moved in coordination with said sweeping path of said output signal to identify the location of the portion of the contrail which is causing the return signal at a particular time; and

means for correlating the amplitude of the return signal scattered back from a particular range, with the range of the portion of the leading edge of the contrail which has resulted in that return signal to define a profile indicating the range of the leading edge of the contrail.

13. A system for detecting a contrail which results from operation of an engine of an aircraft, the contrail forming at a distance behind the aircraft and having an onset corresponding to a front portion of the contrail, the onset generally being within a maximum distance from said aircraft, said maximum distance being short relative to the distance at which the contrail extends behind the aircraft, the front portion having a definable shape, said system comprising:

Other atmospheric analysis systems, such as Knollenberg's noted above, have been forwardly directed, with probes being spaced to allow the contrail particles to flow through a sensing area as the plane flies through the contrail. Since the Knollenberg sensor must be in the contrail for measurement purposes, whereas the system disclosed in the Applied Optics articles is a device for making observations at long distance, the "fly through" system of Knollenberg does not suggest mounting the normally upwardly oriented lidar systems in a horizontal position to look forwardly for cloud observation.

Neither the Knollenberg nor the Applied Optics articles teach how a cloud observation device could distinguish a cloud from a contrail when the contrail is in the cloud. As to Knollenberg, it appears clear that Knollenberg would not even be faced with such problem because the goal of the studies was to analyze only the contrail. Thus, the pilot would not even fly the aircraft into a contrail which was in a cloud. As to the Applied Optics articles, even if one were to use a lidar in a forward-looking manner, there would be no assurance that differences in amplitude of return signals would be an indication of a contrail. For example, in FIG. 7 of the Applied Optics article, January, 1986, Vol.25, No. 1, aerosol and one cloud are shown having a higher amplitude return signal than a more distant cloud, indicating that such is determined by various factors.

From another aspect, if the Knollenberg or the Applied Optics airborne systems were used to look ahead through horizontally spaced cloud formations which contain *contrails*, the aircraft would move toward the contrail and the clouds, causing all of the returns from the clouds and the contrail to appear at ranges which vary with changes in the distance from the aircraft to the clouds and the contrail. Based on these articles, all targets which are looked at in the forward direction (as in Knollenberg) would become closer to the aircraft as the aircraft approaches them. The fact that all targets, such as clouds or *contrails*, would all become closer to the aircraft as it flies toward them would cause the location of all of the clouds (as indicated by the "range" scale in FIG. 7) to be shown uniformly closer to the aircraft. Thus, in this situation, there would not be any signal from the clouds or the contrail which always stays at the same range from the aircraft.

Neither the Knollenberg nor the Applied Optics articles discuss making changes in the direction of the output from the transmitter. Thus, these articles do not appreciate that there would be a difference between (1) a signal scattered back toward the aircraft from the front portion of a cloud behind the aircraft and (2) a signal scattered back toward the aircraft from the front portion of a contrail which forms behind the engines of the aircraft. In particular, the articles do not appreciate that the front portion of a contrail is generally located within the same range aft of the aircraft given stable atmospheric conditions. Therefore, the articles do not appreciate that a rearwardly directed signal which is scattered back toward the aircraft by the contrail will have a peak within that range.

These articles also do not appreciate any need to avoid detection of the contrail detecting system itself. Further, one goal of the lidars disclosed in the Applied Optics articles is to be able to detect phenomena at a range of many kilometers from the detector. Therefore, the 1986 Applied Optics article discloses that the instrument operates at 780 nm to provide weak absorption by water vapor, and thus provide an ability to obtain data from long range.

Reducing false alarms has also been a problem in airborne systems. Ward U.S. Pat. No. 4,834,531 for a Dead Reckoning Optoelectronic Intelligent Docking System granted May 30, 1989, is directed to avoiding false alarms in a satellite docking system. False alarms are avoided by continuing a target acquisition scan until a predetermined number of consecutive returns are detected.

SUMMARY OF THE PRESENT INVENTION

Prior contrail studies have been reviewed by applicants in connection with solving the problem of

Thus, applicants conclude that the ranging system should look rearwardly and be capable of sensing contrail onset in a range of distances starting somewhat behind, yet relatively close to, the engines as compared to (a) the kilometeric distances at which the contrail and clouds may become indistinguishable, and (b) the continuous extent of the cirrus clouds through which the aircraft may be flying. The rearward, ranging feature allows cloud-contrail distinction based on applicants' observation that the signal scattered back toward the aircraft from the point of contrail onset will be at a relatively constant distance from the engines, and will be of greater amplitude than the lower amplitude signals which will be scattered back toward the aircraft over a relatively long distance behind the aircraft by the background cirrus clouds. Applicants also observe, then, that a ranging system for contrail detection will detect a peak in the signals scattered back toward the aircraft, where the peak corresponds to a distance behind the aircraft at which the contrail onset occurs. An additional observation of applicants is that the ranging system must be capable of operating in a high light background without saturating. Saturation is prevented by a narrow band interference filter and feedback that keeps the laser wavelength within the filter bandpass, and by a bistatic arrangement of a laser and a detector. Additionally, a detector with a large dynamic range is used.

With these observations in mind, it is a general object of the present invention to provide an airborne system which is capable of distinguishing between *contrails* produced by aircraft engines and clouds through which the aircraft may be flying, to notify the pilot of the aircraft that *contrails* are being produced.

Another object of the present invention is to mount a lidar on an aircraft for directing transmitted signals rearwardly to be scattered back toward the aircraft by any contrail produced by an engine of the aircraft, where the signal scattered back toward the aircraft by a cloud through which the aircraft may be flying is always distinguishable from the signal scattered back toward the aircraft by a contrail.

A further object of the present invention is to provide a lidar for use in association with each engine of an aircraft, with each such lidar generating an output signal distinguishable from that of the other lidar, so that if only one engine is producing a contrail, the engine which is producing the contrail may be identified.

A still other object of the present invention resides in the operation of airborne lidars at a wavelength which is highly absorbed by water vapor, and at low power, so that at a distance from the aircraft relatively far from a contrail onset distance, the lidar signal will be of minimal amplitude and thus not easily detectible.

A still further object of the present invention is to rapidly modulate an output signal of an airborne, rear-looking lidar so that the distance rearward of the aircraft at which the signal may be backscattered may be related to the amplitude of the signal at that distance.

With these and other objects in mind, the apparatus and method of the present invention use the principle that regardless of aircraft and atmospheric conditions, there is a limited range of distance rearward of an aircraft at which contrail onset generally occurs. The present invention contemplates rearwardly directing a continuous wave randomly modulated lidar output signal at an angle relative to the axis of an engine of the aircraft so that any contrail which has an onset in such limited range will cause the output signal to be scattered back toward the aircraft in the form of a return signal which is sensed by a detector carried by the aircraft. A telescope defines a field of view for the detector, which field of view is at an angle with respect to the direction of the lidar output signal. The output signal is at a selected wavelength and power so that at a distance from the aircraft which is many times that of the contrail onset distance, the portion of the output signal which is not backscattered will be difficult to detect. The system includes one such lidar signal for each engine of the aircraft, with each signal being

modulated using a different code. In this manner, the return signal scattered back toward the aircraft from a contrail produced by one engine is distinguishable from the return beam scattered back toward the aircraft from a contrail produced by the other engine. The detector senses the return signal from the contrail onset, which return signal is at an amplitude generally higher than the amplitude of a return signal scattered back from clouds behind the aircraft. Also, the range from the aircraft at which the onset of a particular contrail occurs in stable atmospheric and engine operating conditions is relatively constant, such that an output or profile signal from the system includes a peak which is indicated as generally remaining at a given distance from the aircraft. On the other hand, the remainder of the profile signal resulting from the clouds has no peak in the region occupied by the contrail, has a generally lower amplitude, and extends (a) at a range behind the aircraft beyond the peak which results from the contrail onset, and (b) from the point of laser beam-contrail intersection forward to the point of intersection of the telescope field of view with the laser beam. The relatively constant location of the contrail onset, and the greater amplitude of the return signal resulting therefrom, reliably distinguish the contrail from any clouds in the area of the aircraft, and avoid false indications that a contrail being formed.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Other objects, features and advantages of the present invention will be apparent from an examination of the following detailed descriptions which include the attached drawings in which:

FIG. 1 is a view of a flying aircraft, two engines of which are operating so as to cause condensation trails (*contrails*) to form behind the aircraft;

FIG. 2A is a view of the contrail forming behind the engine of the aircraft, showing a perspective view of a detection volume in which a contrail onset forms;

FIG. 2B is a sectional view taken along line 2B--2B in FIG. 2A showing the perimeter of the detection volume relative to a laser beam and a telescope field of view;

FIG. 3 is a view of one engine of the aircraft and a sensing pod of the system of the present invention, showing the laser beam directed at an angle from an axis of the engine toward the contrail, and a telescope for receiving a return signal scattered back toward the telescope by the contrail;

FIGS. 4A through 4G are graphs prepared by computer simulation based on the principles of the present invention, illustrating relative strength of processed return signals vs. range from the aircraft under different atmospheric and aircraft operational conditions, showing peaks in the processed return signal each time there is a contrail forming behind the aircraft, where FIGS. 4D and 4E show each peak being easily distinguishable from the signal from clouds in which the *contrails* are located;

FIGS. 5A and 5B, when joined at lines AA and BB, form a schematic diagram of the elements of the system in the sensing pod of the aircraft;

FIGS. 6A through 6C are graphs showing the temporal relationships among a laser beam and certain signals derived from a return signal (the reflection of the laser beam off atmospheric phenomena aft of the aircraft), where FIG. 6A illustrates the temporal modulation of the laser beam in terms of output power versus time increment (indicated as "state number" to refer to an ON or OFF state of the laser beam) for one output sequence; FIG. 6B illustrates the average sampled intensity of the return signal as a function of time increment before cross correlation, where the graph shows return data corresponding to one such output sequence; and FIG. 6C illustrates a profile resulting from a cross correlation process performed on the data shown in FIG. 6B;

Diagnostics: In Step 2, checks the output voltages of the various power supplies 111 (FIG. 5A) required for operation. Also checks the temperature at several locations in the lidar housing 78.

DSP.sub.-- Startup: In Step 3, loads the correlation and data analyzing routines and subroutines described below (rmv.sub.-- DSP, send.sub.-- addr, corr(), addmask(), discrim(y[]), findpeak(), trap() and dis()) into the memory 88 of the DSP processor 63. Also initializes the mode of operation of the DSP processor 63.

Get.sub.-- Startup.sub.-- Conditions: In Step 4, the DSP processor 63 returns to the control processor 106 the addresses in the memory 88 where it writes the resolved count signal 62. The control processor 106 is thus enabled to retrieve the signal 62 when needed by reading the DSP processor's memory 88 at the appropriate address. Also, the control processor 106 is enabled to write data, including the signal 62, directly into such memory 88 without any I/O operation, for example.

TOF.sub.-- Setup: In Step 5, various parameters are passed to the time of flight processor 103, such as sweep record length, number of sweeps to average, and amplifier gain. These parameters tell the time of flight processor 103 how to collect and average the data necessary to generate the histogram 62.

Laser.sub.-- Startup: In Step 6, turns on the thermoelectric cooler 77 and the laser 66, and verifies that the laser 66 is ON. Monitors the power output of the laser 66 at a laser monitor detector 108 (FIG. 5A), which includes the photodiode 87 which has the interference filter 86 in front of it. The filter 86 has the same band pass as a filter 109 in the telescope 67. By monitoring the laser power the control computer 106 determines if the laser 66 is operating at the correct wavelength. When the wavelength has stabilized, the computer 106 continues to the next function.

Initialize.sub.-- Task.sub.-- State: In Step 7, the task state indicates which pointing pattern for the laser 66 is being requested (e.g., horizontal scan), and initializes the pointing pattern.

Open.sub.-- Com.sub.-- Ports: In Step 8, activates and formats serial and parallel communication ports (not shown) provided by the control processor 106.

Restart.sub.-- TOF: In Step 9, commands the time of flight processor 103 to begin collecting a set of data from the count signal 61A.

Initiate.sub.-- DT: In Step 10, tells the time of flight processor 103 to send the resolved count signal 62 to the DSP 63. "DT" refers to a bus 117 which conforms to the DT-Connect standard.

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, the bus 117 conforms to the bus standard defined by Data Translation, Inc. Both the DSP processor 63 and the time of the flight processor 103 implement the DT-Connect standard.

Read.sub.-- Pointing: In Step 11, determines the angle relative to the horizontal axis 93 and the vertical axis 92 at which the laser beam 34 is currently pointing.

Display: In Step 12, sends data and diagnostic information to a terminal (not shown). The system detects whether a terminal and keyboard (not shown) are connected. If not, this function is inactive.

Restart.sub.-- TOF: In Step 13, commands the time of flight processor 103 to begin collecting the next data set from the count signal 61A.

Record.sub.-- Data: In Step 14, formats a collection of data and diagnostic information, and writes it to

if a contrail 21 exists, and waits (see the grand loop 122, second flag 124) for the EXEC 106 to set the second flag 124 again. The EXEC 106 writes operating parameters to and reads results from the memory 88 of the DSP processor 63.

send.sub.-- addr 119 (FIG. 7B): The send.sub.-- addr routine 119 writes the following starting array addresses to a host port 128:

Chart 1 Array Address Corresponds To
 ans [] this is the result of the correlation of the summed
 data bins [] with the correlating pattern code []. code [] the correlating pattern or "a" code. raw [] the
 data as received from the time of flight processor 103.

The send.sub.-- addr routine also writes to the host port 128 the addresses of the following variables:

Chart 2 Address of Variable Description of Variable
 codelen length of M-code (=255). datalen length of data
 set (=510). rawsets how many times does the sequence repeat in the raw data. rawpoints how many
 points will the TOF send. scalefact scales correlated counts to true backscatter. 1stflag 120 used to delay
 start until operating parameters are in place. 2ndflag 124 used in DT-Connect transfer protocol. structure
 p operating parameters. structure t detection thresholds. structure w warning data structure.

The send.sub.-- addr routine 119 then returns to the rvm.sub.-- DSP routine 118.

Referring again to FIG. 7A, the EXEC 106 determines that the time of flight processor 103 has finished performing the 256 sweeps to obtain the samples from the count signal 61A, and resets the second flag 124 to cause looping in Step 36.

addmask () 129 (FIG. 7C): After the 16,320 values are read from the bus 117, the addmask() routine 129 masks out the upper sixteen bits of the 32 bit integer representing each .DELTA.t, selects the lower sixteen bits of such integer and obtains the "sum" (or sampled count signal 61B) in Step 41. An "i" counter 131 counts an outer loop 132 from zero to 509, and a "j" counter 132 counts an inner loop 134 from one to thirty-two. In Step 46, the sampled count signal 61B, or "sum" (FIG. 7G), is written into the array "bins[i]" for each of the 510 .DELTA.t intervals of the single 510 channel data set.

corr() 126 (FIG. 7D): The corr() routine 126 correlates the cross correlation pattern 56 with the resolved count signal 62. It is recalled that the pattern 56 has values of one and minus one corresponding to the respective zeros and ones of the modulation sequence of the output beam 34. The corr() routine 126 processes Equations 1-4 described above under the "Cross Correlation" heading. As noted, each .DELTA.t is sampled twice. This is done by varying "i" and "j", by considering the data as a complex array, and correlating a complex function with a real function. The result of the cross correlation is returned in Step 62 to an "ans[]" array (FIG. 7A, Step 40).

Referring now to FIG. 7G, the address of the "ans[]" array is passed to a data structure "w.", which identifies "warning." The warning is in the form of a recommendation from the discrim() routine 127 in the form of either:

1. Green: No contrail 21--invisible.
2. Yellow: Marginal: (a) contrail 21 not readily visible, or (b) contrail 21, but flying through cloud 48 (not readily visible).

3. Red: Large backscatter signal--contrail 21 most-likely visible.

In FIG. 7G, a generic representation of the return from the addmask routine 129 is referred to as "y[]", which includes the array "ans[]". In Step 66, a constant d.c. level is obtained by identifying the two hundred eightieth .DELTA.t past the start of a sequence (such as the M-code). The value of the two hundred .DELTA.t intervals after the two hundred eightieth .DELTA.t are averaged, which is referred to as "w.back" in FIG. 7G, Step 66. A standard deviation is determined and designated "w.rms" in Step 67.

In Step 68, w.back is subtracted from each value of .DELTA.t in y[] (or "ans[]"). Then an array "y.adj[]" is obtained by multiplying each value of .DELTA.t by the corresponding value of r.sup.2, the range of that value of .DELTA.t. Finally, a Savitsky-Golay method (see Analytical Chemistry, Vol. 36, no. 8, p. 1627) is used to smooth the y adj[] array and result in generating an array "ysm[]".

findpeak() 138 (FIG. 7H): In Step 69 (FIG. 7G), the .DELTA.t intervals corresponding to part of the detection volume 37 are evaluated by the findpeak() routine 138. That part is from the onset distance 31 half way to the end of the maximum distance 43 in which the onset 33