

Subject: Fwd: RE: FW: Letter to UDJ re Hal Voegel Letter v4.doc
From: Jeanette <jbhent@sonic.net>
Date: 5/14/2014 2:43 PM
To: Rosalind Peterson <info@californiaskywatch.com>

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: FW: Letter to UDJ re Hal Voegel Letter v4.doc
Date: Wed, 30 Apr 2014 09:04:30 -0700
From: Bill Koehler <gmrv cwd@ pacific.net>
To: 'Sean White' <rrfc@ pacific.net>
CC: 'Granville' <teacupfarm@comcast.net>, 'Jeanette' <jbhent@sonic.net>, <jbcb69@comcast.net>, 'kathe todd' <kathe@ pacific.net>, <Pamela0111@comcast.net>

I'm trying to stay relatively professionally neutral in this. That being said ever since we shut off all ag my life has been hell. We're looking at 20-50% damage to a \$60Million wine industry and there is a lot of anger. Many of our growers here are also your customers south of the lake. My opinion is that if you and your board really want to merge you need to find a way to get RV growers a minimum amount of ag water so that they do not need to drop their crop onto the ground to keep their vines alive. Our glass is not half full, it is ¼ empty. My Board is looking hard at your statement in the LAFCo pre-app that states that the benefit of the merger is to turn "surplus" water into "firm" water but not to increase the amount. If we can't increase the amount, we can't lift the moratorium. And the benefit is? My recommendation, based on your comment that this is "political" is make it not political. Convince your board to somehow convert to voluntary conservation, reinstate surplus, and allow RV growers to survive. We can deal with less than 50 gpcd and 50% for ag compared to 25% reduction for your contractors but if this community is forced to drop fruit the damage will be immense and the process will be set back. Granville's comment about starving us into submission is resonating with a lot of people. Bill

From: Sean White [<mailto:rrfc@ pacific.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, April 29, 2014 3:51 PM
To: Bill Koehler
Subject: Re: FW: Letter to UDJ re Hal Voegel Letter v4.doc

I think this is unfortunate but what can you do. One could make a "glass half full" argument that says despite having no right to water in years like this, RRFC asked its customers to forgo their water to help the citizens in RVCWD and we were successful in securing a supply for the people of redwood valley. Hopefully we can stay focused, fix the problem, and make sure we never have to deal with this again!!!

Sean